

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/098,481	Applicant(s) M. MIK ET AL
	Examiner A. J. HEINZ	Group Art Unit 2652

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) A. J. HEINZ

(3) _____

(2) MS. MAGDELENA MIK

(4) _____

Date of Interview 14 Aug 2001

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 3, 9, and 15

Identification of prior art discussed:

LANGHANS

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

DISCUSSED INCORPORATING SOME OF 'POINT-OF-SALE' CODING/INFORMATION FOR COMPUTING THE ACCOUNT TRANSFER MECHANISM TO PLACE A CLAIM IN ALLOWABLE CONDITION

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

A. J. HEINZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2652